Approved For lease 200 05/12 LdIA-RDP83-0015000300050098

28 AUG

				RO AUG "	
		Deputy Director for	Administration	File medical	
	FROM:	Harry E. Fitzwater Director of Personne	÷1		
25X1	SUBJECT:	Overseas Medical Pro Limit Extension		r Time	
	REFERENCE:	PERS 79-2348, dated Medical Program	9 April 1979, Subjec	ct: Overseas	
25X1	in paragraph 4 w		onable standards for		
(1	for the dependen referent with the to determine the an extension of determines that aggravated by the made, treatment maximum benefit of	e understanding that duration of coverage benefits for depender the medical condition at Government expense has been obtained from the Director of	reasonable standards e. The Program specients if the Director of was initially contrabroad. When this ce may be provided beyon the treatment. Be of Medical Services by	were extended by some would be established ifically provides for of Medical Services racted or materially determination is yond 120 days until ecause of the nature believes that the	25
		ximum benefit of treatistrative-legal reviews		I should be the	25
25X1 25X1	1977. Approval change of doctor	he first extension of was based on a lack on the	of continuity of treater of treater of treater of the continuity of the cont	expired on 8 August atment due to a	25
25X1	and includes 179 provided	treatment days. Ext	tensions of coverage treatment days.	7 to the present time have thus far	25
	Payment of any p limited to one y Program. If the one-year limitat	rear beginning on the Director of Medical cion would result in so extend the period for	r outpatient treatme first day of treatme Services determines serious inequity or l	ent of an employee is ent paid for under the that adherence to the hardship, the Director	

25)

Approved For Belease 2004 1 3 CTA-RDP83-00156B000300050098-3

	3. Staff Position: In previous dependent cases of extended benefits the duration of coverage under the Agency's Overseas Medical Program was based on a determination by OMS of when maximum benefit of treatment had been reached. Because of the chronic nature of	25X
25X1	The maximum benefit of treatment provision is taken from the overseas medical program In discussions with their Office of Medical Services we determined that they gauge maximum benefit of treatment in terms of whether further progress can be expected. As long as the condition is improving, or there is reason to believe that further improvement will take place within a reasonable period of time, then maximum benefit of treatment has not taken place. Using this approach it would appear likely that with a disease that fluctuates between remissions and relapses the decision would depend on whether the long-term trend shows improvement or decline	25X
25X1	Since the Program's regular coverage is limited to 120 treatment days within a one year period, it appears reasonable that these limitations should be carefully weighed in establishing standards for extension. There should also be maximum periods for extensions so that employees and the Agency can plan accordingly. Given one year and 120 treatment days as the limit for a majority of cases, it would appear equitable to limit extended coverage in exceptional cases to five years from the first treatment date paid for under the Program or three extensions of 120 treatment days (a total of 360 additional treatment days). In case this would mean that 58 treatment days remain (360-302) and that coverage could not extend beyond 30 June 1980	25X]
25X1	In the case of employees, the extension of outpatient benefits beyond one year is based upon a determination of serious inequity or hardship. Department of State representatives indicate that they generally view hardship as being financial in nature and we have used this criteria where it was clear that an employee had limited resources. Instances of inequity have generally involved treatment of illness or injury which had to be deferred for medical reasons and could not normally be expected to take place within a one year period.	
	We now have pending a request for extension of outpatient benefits for an employee who contracted multiple sclerosis while he was stationed over- seas. If the employee establishes that serious inequity or hardship is present, an extension can be approved until maximum benefit of treatment is obtained.	

Approved For Please 2004/05/12/10/14-RDP83-00156-00300050098-3

It would appear that the same criteria for determining maximum benefit as that used for dependents would apply, i.e., whether further progress can be expected. There is no limit on the number of treatment days for employees. The maximum time limit for coverage should be the same as for dependents and five years from the first treatment date appears appropriate.

- 4. Recommendations: It is recommended that you approve the following standards for determining the duration of extended coverage of medical benefits under the Agency's Overseas Medical Program:
 - a. Extensions of dependent coverage will be made in increments of 120 treatment days.
 - b. Extensions of employee coverage for outpatient treatment will be made for periods of one-year.
 - c. The maximum extensions of dependent coverage will not exceed 360 treatment days or five years from the first treatment date paid for under the Program.
 - d. The maximum extensions of employee outpatient coverage will not exceed five years from the first treatment date paid for under the Program.
 - e. Maximum benefit of treatment for the purposes of this Program will be obtained when the Director of Medical Services determines that no further progress in the condition can reasonably be expected.
 - f. An inequity for the purposes of this Program exists when the Director of Medical Services determines that it would be unreasonable to expect an employee to obtain maximum benefit of outpatient treatment within the one year time limitation.
 - g. A hardship for the purposes of this Program exists when the Director of Personnel determines that an employee's outpatient medical expenses and other expenses directly related to his or her illness or injury are of such a magnitude that they constitute financial hardship. In each instance the employee will be required to furnish a financial statement and to clearly establish financial need.

Harry E. Fitzwater

Harry E. Fitzwater

Attachment

25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt